



**MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
April 16, 2019**

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 pm.

Present: Mayor: Charlie Miner; Council: Deirdre Kvale, Michelle Jerde, Jahn Dyvik, and Tom Skjaret

Staff Present: City Administrator: Scott Weske; Planning Consultant: PeggySue Imihy; Public Works Director: Sean Diercks; and City Clerk: Jeanette Moeller

Absent: None

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MAYOR'S COMMENTS - LONG LAKE NEWS, MEETING REVIEW AND UPDATES

Mayor Miner offered the following comments and updates:

During the EDA meeting held prior to the regular City Council meeting, the EDA took action authorizing staff to move forward with obtaining detailed proposals for demolition of the former BP station structures at 1905 Wayzata Boulevard W, and to approve a not to exceed contract amount for the work to take place.

The Long Lake Firefighters' Relief Association held their annual All You Can Eat Pancakes & French Toast Breakfast fundraiser on Sunday, April 14, and both the attendance and food were great. Mayor Miner conveyed his appreciation to everyone who came out to support the Firefighters' Relief Association fundraiser.

The Long Lake Waters Association (LLWA) is having a free educational summit on April 25 at the Woodhill Red Barn in Wayzata. Event information is available on the LLWA's website, and was also shared via the City Listserv.

APPROVE AGENDA

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Skjaret, to approve the Agenda as presented. Ayes: all.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Consent Agenda consisted of:

- A. Approve Minutes of April 2, 2019 City Council Work Session
- B. Approve Minutes of April 2, 2019 City Council Meeting
- C. Receive Minutes of March 19, 2019 Economic Development Authority Meeting
- D. Receive Minutes of March 12, 2019 Planning Commission Meeting
- E. Approve Vendor Claims and Payroll

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Jerde, to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Ayes: all.

OPEN CORRESPONDENCE

Dan MacDonald, 335 Russell Lane – Mr. MacDonald appeared to inquire whether there had been resolution of the speed limit issue with Hennepin County. He noted that he found the statute granting the City's authority to reduce the speed limit to be clear, and stated he does not believe there's a question the County will need to comply with the City's action to reduce the speed limit. Administrator Weske explained that the roadway will remain posted at 35 mph until construction of Phase 2 is complete, after which time he understands there is a speed study that will need to take place at the State level as a first step to further action reducing the speed limit.

BUSINESS ITEMS

Special Event Permit Request for Orono Lions Flea Market at West Hennepin Pioneer Museum

City Clerk Moeller reported that staff recently received a Special Event Permit application from Bradley Hansen, Orono Lions Club President, for the Club to host a new event, the "Orono Lions Flea Market", on Saturdays beginning April 27 through June 29, 2019.

The proposed flea market would be located at the West Hennepin Pioneer Museum. On each Saturday market day, setup would begin at 8:00 am, shopping hours would be from 10:00 am to 2:00 pm, and tear-down/cleanup would be complete by approximately 3:00 pm. Vendors will be located on the grounds in front of the Pioneer Museum, and use of the Museum's parking lot will be available for vendors or attendees. If the success of the event creates need for off-site parking for attendees or vendors, the Orono Lions Club has already obtained letters of permission from Lake Community Bank and the City of Long Lake (City Hall parking lot, vacant Virginia Avenue lot) granting use of property as designated off-site parking. As a condition of approval, Orono Lions Flea Market volunteer staff would be required to be available to direct parking and assure attendees use sidewalks and marked crosswalks to travel from off-site parking locations to the Pioneer Museum property. The Orono Lions Club would require vendors to sign an agreement to participate in their flea market event dates.

Staff recommends approval of Special Event Permit #S2019-02 for the "Orono Lions Flea Market" with conditions as specified in the permit approval letter included in Council member meeting packets.

Council member Jerde questioned whether the event would be using Club Mutts' business parking as well.

Moeller replied that the parking plan is to rely on their off-site parking locations for overflow rather than to utilize spaces at Club Mutts.

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Kvale, to adopt Resolution No. 2019-18 approving issuance of Special Event Permit #S2019-02 for the Orono Lions Flea Market to be held at the West Hennepin Pioneer Museum on Saturdays, April 27 through June 29, 2019. Ayes: all.

Planning Case #2019-06 / Ordinance Amending Section 5: Planned Unit Development (PUD) District of the City of Long Lake Zoning Ordinance (City of Long Lake)

Planning Consultant Imihy indicated that previously, staff had been directed to revise the City's current Section 5: Planned Unit Development (PUD) District of the Zoning Ordinance as the existing language has been difficult to navigate and is outdated referencing plans that are no longer current. A month ago, Imihy had offered a presentation to help explain why PUDs are important, particularly

as the City's Comprehensive Plan states that a large portion of the community is guided to require rezoning to PUD when property uses change. She explained that PUDs should ideally provide for sensitive transition, for efficient use of land, and to allow flexibility from setbacks and lot requirements in project design. She noted that when the City imagined the downtown to be PUDs, it was likely the vision was to have a vibrant mixed use downtown area.

Imihy presented a draft of an ordinance to repeal and replace Section 5 with new content, with revisions intended to reorganize the document into a format that is more legible, to remove language which referenced plans no longer used by the City, and to update language for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan.

Other changes include:

- The addition of language in the purpose and intent which requires public benefit to be made by a developer in exchange for the rezoning to PUD;
- Modifying Subd.2. Applicability to only require conversion to PUD in the Downtown Village Area if increases in building size or lot area in addition to a change of use, in order to limit the number of PUDs which do not result in a mix of uses;
- Simplification of the application process to include an optional pre application concept review instead of a required PUD concept plan application and review;
- Inclusion of the Village Design Guidelines as a requirement;
- Simplification of the setbacks to be more consistent with the intent of the PUD district to provide flexibility from zoning districts; and
- Language for additional building height up to 50 feet in certain areas (on the south side of Wayzata Boulevard W) by Conditional Use Permit based upon a set of tangible criteria.

Imihy clarified that the Comprehensive Plan indicates that in the future, the City would see the whole downtown become PUD. The PUD requirement however may not have fully taken into consideration how the different uses within the downtown may transition and trigger the PUD requirement.

Council member Dyvik voiced concern that while the Comprehensive Plan may have guided parcels along the lakeshore in his area of residence a certain way, they have continued to be low density single family housing.

Imihy commented that in the lakeshore single family area referenced, what the City is experiencing is a tension between what has been planned for versus what has happened. She noted that later in the agenda, staff would be initiating a conversation about the guiding of those lakeshore properties from Nelson Lakeside Park through the lakeshore side of Martha Lane.

Council members Dyvik and Kvale indicated support of permitting single family homes as a conditional use in the Downtown Village Mixed Use guided area, changing the designation in the use table as included in the draft new PUD ordinance from "NP" to "C".

Imihy indicated that staff could make the change requested, and explained that under either designation, the owner of property in the Downtown Village Boundary Area with an existing single family home does have the ability to add an addition to their residence if the addition would meet setbacks. However, if an owner were to propose an addition substantial enough to require variances, permitting single family as a conditional use rather than as an outright permitted use would allow for the City to have greater input. She noted that the City's visioning for the downtown has been for it to be a thriving downtown area.

Council and staff discussed the draft PUD ordinance at length. Some Council members voiced concern that the PUD ordinance should not negatively impact the ability of an existing single family residence to improve their property; and that reducing single family minimum setbacks may negatively impact neighbors adjacent to a PUD project. It was noted that allowing single family residences in the Downtown Village Mixed Use area could result in seeing an application for someone to develop a single family home anywhere in that guided area, with the general example that someone could purchase the Red Rooster and seek to turn that site into a single family home. Extensive discussion was held regarding how the Downtown Village Boundary Area, and particularly the Downtown Village Mixed Use area, plan for the future of development in Long Lake; and how to plan for development while avoiding negatively impacting single family property owners, while recognizing that the life cycle of property use is changeable. Some Council members were concerned that permitting single family residential use in specifically the Downtown Village Mixed Use guided area would be in conflict with the City's established vision of having a higher density downtown business district. It was noted that a "grandfather clause" permitting existing single family residences to add on to their properties without requiring a PUD or Conditional Use Permit, but rather a variance from their setback requirements, may be a solution to maintain sensitivity to existing single family owners.

Council member Kvale pointed out that in the current ordinance, there is a statement under the purpose and intent section stating a PUD purpose should be to preserve public and private views of Long Lake along public street corridors and buildings, and questioned why that provision had been removed from the draft.

Imihy explained that the new draft removed that provision as it would be difficult to enforce and is difficult to interpret from a legally defensible position. A senior planner who had assisted in the PUD update had recommended that language, and other areas, be removed. As an example, there is legal precedent for standard planning language referencing a project must be consistent with the overall character of the neighborhood in which it is located, but there is not a lot of legal precedent for preserving views. Overall, the draft was prepared with a focus on criteria being tangible and legally defensible. She and staff confirmed that the proposed new PUD ordinance is a draft, and they sought Council member feedback on how the draft could be made better for the Council.

Council members largely agreed that they would like to see a revised draft of the ordinance include a "grandfather clause" addressing single family in the downtown area, and changing single family dwellings from "NP" to "C" in the Downtown Village Mixed Use guided area. Some Council members indicated they would prefer to table consideration and review proposed changes, rather than to adopt the ordinance with changes.

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Dyvik, to table consideration of draft Ordinance No. 2019-02 until the next Council meeting to allow for Council review of language updates. Ayes: all.

Planning Case #2019-05 / Request for Review of a Concept Plan for a Planned Unit Development Located at 1798 Martha Lane, 1806 Symes Street, and 1802 Symes Street (Applicant: Charles Cudd Co. LLC)

Planning Consultant Imihy introduced the concept plan application by Charles Cudd Co. for a PUD for single family development located on what is currently three lots on 1798 Martha Lane, 1806 Symes Street, and 1802 Symes Street. She explained that the applicant's initial proposal as submitted was to realign existing lot lines to construct three homes on three lots. An issue arose in that the City's current 2030 Comprehensive Plan guides two of the three parcels as Multiple Family Residential – Medium Density; therefore, the proposal to develop all three parcels for single family was inconsistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan as approved by Metropolitan Council. Though typically a Comprehensive Plan amendment application could be made, Imihy was informed by the

Metropolitan Council sector representative that they are no longer accepting applications for 2030 Comprehensive Plan amendments as they are now in the 2040 Comprehensive Plan cycle. The City remains guided under the 2030 Comprehensive Plan until Metropolitan Council approves the City's 2040 Comprehensive Plan. In initial conversations about this issue with the developer, the developer had suggested developing the lots into four dwellings rather than three and planning staff was optimistic this may be a solution to arrive at the density calculation required for the three lots. Further conversation with Metropolitan Council has made it clear this may not be the case. Regardless, at this time, the applicant would like to share their concept and designs with the Council based upon the four lot configuration. The Planning Commission reviewed the concept at their April 9 meeting and they were very excited about the project. A nearby homeowner had spoken to the proposal and indicated this was the first time she had been happy about a project concept for the area. There has been positive response overall thus far even to the four unit proposal. She explained that the request before the Council is to consider the applicant's Concept PUD and to provide the developer with comments and feedback with no voting action taken. She noted that a final PUD application may not be able to be accepted at this time due to the mixed designation of the parcels involved, though later in the meeting, staff will be recommending consideration of re-guiding the Multiple Family Residential lakeshore parcels on Symes Street to Low Density Residential.

Imihy displayed the developer's three and four lot concepts, renderings of home designs, and indicated that lots would each have dock access to the lake. Again, the Planning Commission was very supportive and thought the house designs proposed appear very high quality. As direct next door neighbors and one across the street who shared comments at the Planning Commission meeting were supportive as well, she believes the response during a formal public hearing at a next step application would be pretty positive. She affirmed that the Planning Commission reviewed the four lot concept.

John Sonnek, Charles Cudd Co., described efforts undertaken in their planning to be sensitive to the views of neighboring properties in designing where homes would be located on individual lots. He explained that though they had begun with a three lot proposal, when the Metropolitan Council related concerns came to light, they amended their concept to a four lot proposal in hopes of addressing the issue. He also commented on how setbacks within the four lot proposal were calculated to take into account fire ordinances for building code impacting roof distances; and indicated what hardcover percentages would apply.

Council member Dyvik indicated that he would like to see a condition of approval for a project in that area include rip-rapping of the shoreline. While he had been excited to hear about Charles Cudd Co., a noteworthy reputable builder, applying to undertake a project in that location; he commented he was strongly in favor of going back to a three lot, three home project with a full 10 foot side yard setback. He also would be hopeful that a return to a three lot configuration would reduce the proposed hardcover percentage to 30% or less. He noted that responses to the Comprehensive Plan Community Input Survey had favored the lake as a top priority, and that over 85% of respondents indicated they would favor spending tax dollars on improving water quality.

Council member Jerde shared her support for the four lot proposal as shown, indicating the home quality is beautiful and that it would be an honor to have the developer build in the City. She questioned what the square footage and price point would be of the homes proposed.

Mr. Sonnek stated that overall square footage would range from 3,000 to 3,800 for all floors, and the price point of each unit would range from \$1.2 million to \$1.5 million, dependent upon whether three or four homes would be constructed.

Rick Denman, Charles Cudd Co., shared that the proposal before the Council represents their ideas and they go before a lot of Councils. Long Lake is a unique, emerging community and close to Wayzata. One of the niches they represent is the empty nester buyer, and they do it very well. He stated their company is also pretty good at higher density projects while being careful about the architecture. They refer to the homes proposed as villa homes, and they would be maintained by an association. They have built villas 12 feet apart, and the proposed four lot configuration as shown would include 14 feet between buildings. He welcomed Council members to view similar models they had constructed near the Medina Country Club. He commented that if the Council directs that a three lot proposal is preferred, they would do that. If four lots is an option, they would love to do that. He noted that the point he would like to make is that when he drives through Long Lake, he has observed it needs a little rejuvenation, and they could be a part of that and do a really quality project while being sensitive to neighbors. He explained that from their perspective, if they could receive some kind of assurances that a project could be undertaken, it would be easier for them to assemble the complete deal.

Mr. Sonnek clarified that each lot would have its own driveway coming out to the current road off which it is located. They would not propose homes to be located any closer than 35' from the street, and would assure driveway configurations would allow for visitors to park outside garages. He also responded to Council member questions regarding hardcover and underground water retention infrastructure they would be prepared to install for consistency with Watershed District requirements to capture and filter runoff.

Imihy encouraged Council members to provide the developer with feedback, so that they can receive comment to work from in a future application. She noted a discussion on the 2040 Comprehensive Plan will follow.

Mayor Miner commented that ultimately the quality of the project will be similar whether as a three or four unit proposal, the demographic the project is designed for is a good one, and he is supportive of the developer's concept. He would be comfortable with the four lot proposal based on the size of the lots, and while he recognizes there may be concern about adding to lake traffic, but the empty nester demographic typically represents retirees and not a super active jet ski crowd.

Council member Skjaret would support the four lot configuration. He recalled that decisions made today are not for today, but are for people who will be here 15 and 20 years from now. He recommended the Council weigh decisions based on the future.

Council member Kvale voiced her support for a three lot project, stating the four lots would take up too much space and would squeeze in too many houses.

Council member Dyvik shared an email he had received written by another Martha Lane resident which stated that higher density may make for better financials but would not be better for the future of the lake. The resident indicated his would not be in favor of the City not enforcing its zoning code for financial gain, and a change from three to four units would be for a financial gain purpose.

Council member Jerde indicated she supported the four lot proposal and would welcome four beautiful Charles Cudd Co. homes.

Mr. Denman sought some clarification on when they may be able to make an application for action, taking into account Metropolitan Council concerns.

Imihy responded that answering that question would be difficult at this time, and further Council conversation as well as discussions with Metropolitan Council may impact the timeline.

Update Regarding 2040 Comprehensive Plan

Imihy explained that the City's 2030 Comprehensive Plan is now out of date, and although the 2040 Comprehensive Plan draft has been submitted for adjacent community review and approved by Council, it has not yet been submitted to Metropolitan Council. The City previously received an extension to June 30 for submission in order to allow for inclusion of a required Surface Water Management Plan with the Comprehensive Plan update. Staff is now recommending submission of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan draft promptly. She shared examples of a recent Comprehensive Plan approved by Metropolitan Council for the City of Mahtomedi, called attention to the size of the document, and noted that although Mahtomedi is larger than Long Lake, all communities are treated relatively the same by Metropolitan Council standards despite their size. In her conversations regarding the Charles Cudd proposal with Metropolitan Council sector representative Jake Reilly, she had shared the City's draft 2040 Comprehensive Plan informally with him. Initial feedback is that the City's draft plan is missing major elements including housing and demographic data, and Mr. Reilly advised that it is very likely the City will be completely redoing its draft plan based upon the volume of comment expected to be generated through Metropolitan Council's formal review. She noted that part of the conversation about the Charles Cudd proposed project is that the 2040 Comprehensive Plan does not include any density calculations, an overall City-wide calculation of units per acre cannot be made, and the City is required to meet Metropolitan Council density per acreage standards through its Comprehensive Plan. Utilizing parcels allocated for Multiple Family Residential for Low Density Residential effectively results in a loss of between 7 and 14 units.

That said, staff recommends the Council consider amending its 2040 Land Use Map to reguide the Symes Street lakeshore Multiple Family Residential parcels to Low Density Residential because it does not seem there is any interest in that area being developed as medium density. If Council reguides the parcels, WSB would amend the map in preparation for submitting the 2040 Comprehensive Plan draft to Metropolitan Council. With the draft submitted, the City would likely receive Metropolitan Council's response 15 days after submission, and their response will dictate the revisions that will need to be made to the plan.

Council and staff discussed the process behind drafting the 2040 plan, changes likely to be required to the plan to assure overall City-wide density calculations as required are met, and how the status of the 2040 plan is impacting the Charles Cudd application at this time.

Marty Schneider, 1176 Wayzata Boulevard W, had been Mayor and led the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Task Force in development of the plan. He noted he had spoken with Mr. Reilly at Metropolitan Council as well, and reviewed changes Metropolitan Council had made over time in their forecasted household and population estimates for Long Lake. He also commented that Council member Jerde particularly had worked very hard on the community input survey results incorporated in the draft plan, and stated that approximately 30% of surveys mailed to households were returned representing good response and a reflection of community interest. He does not believe the Metropolitan Council is asking for or requiring higher density than was requested back in 2008, and his perspective is that he does not believe huge amendments to the land use map would be required.

Imihy clarified that in 2008 Metropolitan Council required Comprehensive Plans to calculate a minimum overall density of three units per acre for suburban communities; however, they did change their requirement now to five units per acre. Their focus is not about population, but rather on the number of dwelling units.

Eric Weiss, WSB Community Planning Manager, spoke to affirm that there is a number of technical elements Metropolitan Council requires, and they have changed their approach from the 2030 plan to the 2040 plan. The recession slowed population growth and migration changes; and Metropolitan Council is concerned with affordability of regional housing, assuring the housing supply is meeting expectations or demands, adjusting for decrease in household size taking into account downsizing seniors and young people who want to rent. They are also concerned with what is financially sustainable, and how areas may be developed where there is infrastructure for them to do so. Metropolitan Council's focus is on the number of household units, and the City will have to account for where additional units may be added through redevelopment since Long Lake is fully developed. He provided an explanation of the calculation information missing from the City's current draft 2040 plan, and recommended the City submit their current draft now to Metropolitan Council in order to receive their feedback on what will need to be fleshed out as the document moves forward. He noted that changing the guidance of the single family properties along the lakeshore to Low Density Residential makes sense and is workable. Overall, the City will have to provide density calculations for redevelopment in other areas that will result in meeting Metropolitan Council's requirement of five units per acre.

Imihy emphasized that staff did not want to submit the draft 2040 plan to Metropolitan Council without first having a conversation with the City Council to assure the Council would not be surprised that the Metropolitan Council will likely find the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan process to have been unmet; and staff wanted to prepare the City Council for comments they may receive in advance.

A motion was made by Dyvik, seconded by Kvale, to amend the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map to change the guiding of lakeshore Symes Street addresses to Low Density Residential and to submit the revised map and 2040 Comprehensive Plan to Metropolitan Council.

Council member Skjaret expressed concern that the City may be making a mistake regarding the parcels to Low Density Residential.

Mayor Miner sought clarification on the difference between Low Density and Medium Density.

Imihy and Weiss responded that it is difficult to explain the difference between the two, because the City's draft Comprehensive Plan does not define the ranges. Weiss commented that the plan should identify redevelopment sites and calculate a potential yield in households as part of showing the overall City-wide density per acre requirement is planned to be met. Imihy noted that a more robust implementation section will likely be required to be added to the draft plan.

Ayes: Kvale, Dyvik. Nays: Jerde, Miner, Skjaret. Motion failed.

Roger Adams, Planning Commission Chair, indicated that low density does not mean one house on one acre. From the tenor of the conversation, he observed the majority of Council is in favor of having single family homes along the lake. If the Multiple Family guided parcels remain as guided, you could have single family homes and an apartment building on that block. As a Planning Commission and as a Council, he recommended the goal be for the City to thrive not survive. He had asked a business owner what the number one thing they needed for success would be people. He added that from his perspective it would be silly to leave the three lakeshore lots Multiple Family when a past vision of having townhomes all along the row was no longer going to happen, but the density will have to be picked up elsewhere.

Imihy advised that she also would advise regarding the parcels to low density and moving the 2040 draft plan forward with the revised land use map, in order to allow for having more conversations

with Metropolitan Council and for the Charles Cudd application to then be consistent with guiding of the properties. She recommended the Council consider making separate motions to change the guiding of the three lakeshore parcels to Low Density Residential, and to submit the 2040 Comprehensive Plan, in order to allow for further debate on individual motions at the Council's option.

A motion was made by Dyvik, seconded by Kvale, to change the guiding of three lakeshore properties along Symes Street from Multiple Family Residential – Medium Density to Low Density Residential in the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Ayes: Kvale, Jerde, Dyvik, Miner. Nay: Skjaret. Motion carried.

A motion was made by Dyvik, seconded by Jerde, to submit the draft 2040 Comprehensive Plan to Metropolitan Council. Ayes: all.

Consideration of Declaring Certain Equipment as Surplus and Authorizing its Disposal/Sale

Public Works Director Diercks reported that the the City currently has certain equipment in storage at the Public Works facility that is outdated, obsolete and unusable; as well as recently replaced fleet vehicles which could be sold to generate some revenue back to the City. Staff is seeking Council authorization to conduct an auction of surplus equipment in cooperation with an online auction company based out of Monticello. Diercks noted that upon conclusion of the sale, items not sold would be properly disposed of.

Administrator Weske explained that the auction company would photograph the inventory, staff would need to be available for inspection of items periodically, and the auction process would assure that items to be sold are made available to the public fairly and equitably. The exact cost to the City for the auction is not fully known yet because inventory preparation is still underway. Staff would be aiming for the auction to take place in August.

Mayor Miner expressed his support for the proposed auction.

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Dyvik, to direct City staff to prepare for and conduct a public online surplus equipment auction, and allow City staff to negotiate a contract with an online auction company. Ayes: all.

OTHER BUSINESS

Updates From Public Works – Diercks provided the following updates:

Two lawn mowers had been purchased for a total cost \$1,000 less than the expenditure amount previously authorized by Council.

Diercks will be meeting with Eureka Construction in preparation for Wurzer Trail work to continue. He will also be obtaining a quote from Mike Derr of Eureka Construction for seeding of the trail area.

Council member Skjaret referenced the mountain of base material from crushing operations sitting on site at Public Works. Diercks confirmed that material is intended to be used for base material as construction resumes.

LLWA Educational Summit – Council member Dyvik again highlighted the LLWA's upcoming "Spread the Word, Not the Weeds" educational summit to be held on April 25 from 6:00 pm to 8:30

pm at the Woodhill Red Barn. Light food, drink and a cash bar will be available, and speakers will be presenting on aquatic invasive species.

Fire Contract Discussions – Mayor Miner provided an update for the Council regarding ongoing fire contract discussions, noting that he, Council member Dyvik, and Weske would be having a second meeting with Orono’s Mayor, a Council representative and staff on Thursday to continue negotiations. His hope is that the outcome of the meeting will offer a sense of how things are looking for the future of the relationship between the two cities as it relates to fire service.

ADJOURN

Hearing no objection, Mayor Miner adjourned the meeting by general consent at 9:40 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanette Moeller
City Clerk