



**MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
December 19, 2017**

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 pm.

Present: Mayor: Marty Schneider; Council: Tim Hultmann, Tom Skjaret, Jahn Dyvik, and Michelle Jerde

Staff Present: City Administrator: Scott Weske; Planning Consultant: Addison Lewis; Fire Chief: James Van Eyll; and City Clerk: Jeanette Moeller

Absent: None

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MAYOR'S COMMENTS - LONG LAKE NEWS, MEETING REVIEW AND UPDATES

Mayor Schneider offered the following comments and updates:

Mayor Schneider announced the third, second and first place winners of the first annual Community Christmas Decorating Contest, and congratulated first place winner Roger Adams of 11__ Underhill Circle. Photos of Mr. Adams' residence and spectacular holiday lighting were displayed, and Mr. Adams was presented with his prize award. Mr. Adams spoke to express his gratitude for the award and shared a few words about his household's sincere enthusiasm for Christmas décor and the holiday lighting each year.

In speaking with Hennepin County senior project manager Paul Backer, Mayor Schneider had learned Xcel Energy has provided power for the street lighting in the downtown area, and all of the new lights should soon be illuminated. Moving into next year, hardware on the new street light fixtures will allow for the display of banners and hanging planters as well.

Lastly, Mayor Schneider thanked everyone for their work in the previous year, highlighting Police and Fire for their responsiveness and assistance through the year, Public Works Department staff, and City administrative staff and consultants.

APPROVE AGENDA

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Skjaret, to approve the Agenda as presented. Ayes: all.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Consent Agenda consisted of:

- A. Approve Minutes of December 5, 2017 City Council Work Session
- B. Approve Minutes of December 5, 2017 City Council Meeting
- C. Receive Minutes of November 21, 2017 Economic Development Authority Meeting
- D. Receive Unofficial Draft Minutes of December 12, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting
- E. Approve Vendor Claims and Payroll

- F. Adopt Resolution No. 2017-49 Appointing Lori Goodsell to the Position of Economic Development Authority Member Serving a Term Effective January 2018 Through December 31, 2019

Council member Dyvik noted that the Consent Agenda included Item F for the purpose of ratifying the reappointment of Economic Development Authority (EDA) member Lori Goodsell to serve another term on the EDA, as approved by the EDA in their meeting prior to the regular City Council meeting.

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Hultmann, to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Ayes: all.

OPEN CORRESPONDENCE

Lori Goodsell, 2206 Watertown Road – Ms. Goodsell referenced the concept plan review for a five to six story multi-family apartment building proposed for Symes Street, and noted that the development standards in the Zoning Ordinance are reasonable and well thought out. In particular, she stated that the maximum building height permitted is 35 feet. She asked that the City Council not allow one developer and two land owners to change the entire frame of the town of Long Lake through one development. Mayor Schneider responded that the concept review is just that – a concept review creates the ability for a developer to put their ideas before the Planning Commission and City Council without the possibility of formal action. The concept review is intended to be really more of a sounding board exercise for a developer to hear comment regarding their proposal.

BUSINESS ITEMS

Appointment of New Firefighter to the Long Lake Fire Department

Fire Chief Van Eyll reported that with an application and interview process completed, staff is recommending that a conditional job offer for the position of firefighter be made to applicant Richelle Opay, who is in attendance at the meeting with the support of her family. The conditions of the position offer are that each firefighter passes physical and physiological exams, an agility test, social media check, and completes a probationary period. The interview panel consisted of Fire Lieutenants, District Captain, and the Assistant Chief. Ms. Opay will be responding to Station 1.

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Jerde, to adopt Resolution No. 2017-50 conditionally appointing Richelle Opay to the position of paid on-call firefighter for the City of Long Lake Fire Department. Ayes: all.

Mayor Schneider thanked Ms. Opay for her willingness to be part of the Fire Department, and expressed appreciation to her family for being behind her in their support.

Planning Case #2017-04 / Concept Review for a 115-Unit Multi-Family Residential Building at 1843-1895 Symes Street

Planning Consultant Lewis reported that the applicants, Tom Threlkeld of Bayport Properties and Nick Walton of Reuter Walton Development, are requesting concept plan review for a proposed redevelopment of four properties - 1843, 1885, 1877, and 1895 Symes Street - for a 115-unit multi-family building on a combined 1.25 acre site. Staff recommends that the City Council provide feedback to the developer on the proposed plans, especially in regards to building height, density, and parking, as staff views these as the primary areas of concern.

The applicant's proposal is for a 115-unit multi-family residential building, made up of five (5) alcove studio units, seventy (70) one-bedroom units, and forty (40) two-bedroom units. The building

would feature indoor, outdoor, and semi-private amenities for the residents. Parking would be provided in a split-level garage beneath the units. The current plans show that the building would meet required setbacks consistent with PUD district requirements.

The PUD district allows for a maximum height of 35 feet for buildings located north of West Wayzata Boulevard. The height calculation provided by the developer is approximately 85' however, this calculation is measured from the lowest grade elevation and to the peak of the ridge rather than from the average grade to the average height between the eave and ridge of the gable. Therefore, the actual height calculation will be less than 85 feet but still well over the 35 feet permitted. A variance would be required for the height.

The applicant proposes that parking would be accommodated within a split-level garage beneath the residential units. Access would be provided via a garage door located on the northwest side of the building off of Lake Street. The applicant's current plans show 144 spaces being provided. Zoning Code requires at least two free spaces per unit for multi-family dwellings. Without accounting for guest parking, based on the two stalls per unit requirement, the ordinance requires a minimum of 230 parking stalls. The applicant's proposal for 144 stalls falls 86 stalls short of this requirement and would require a variance. However, the applicant's plans appear to show stalls that are 10 feet in width, while the ordinance only requires 8.5 feet in width for a regular stall and 7.5 feet for a compact stall. Compact stalls are allowed to make up not more than 33 percent of the total number of stalls required. Therefore, if the applicant revised the stall widths, more stalls could be provided.

The Comprehensive Plan guides the subject area for a combination of retail/office and multi-family residential – high density. The high density proposed is consistent with the guide, but the building height proposed is not. If the entire block were to develop rather than the four parcels, the number of units proposed could be accommodated in a three-story building instead. The current proposal represents double the height and density of what is called for in the Comprehensive Plan for this area.

If after following the concept plan review process the applicant decides to submit an application, the application would require rezoning to PUD and approval of a Master Development Plan. Section 5 (Planned Unit Development District), Subd. 6 requires a PUD/Master Development Plan for all new development and redevelopment within the Downtown Village area, as designated in the Comprehensive Plan.

Mayor Schneider invited Tom Threlkeld of Bayport Properties to present their proposal. Mr. Threlkeld in turn introduced Nick Walton of Reuter Walton Development to speak on their behalf.

Mr. Walton described Reuter Walton Development's background in high end single family and multi-family residential construction, and displayed examples of projects and detailed finish work utilized in other project areas. At this stage they are seeking to determine whether this is a fit between what they think could work on the site and what the City is looking to see. Their intent is to show a project, but not necessarily a specific project at this time. He noted that limiting the building to three stories would not work for the way they have analyzed the project, and they would need to communicate further regarding density. Mr. Walton presented renderings of an example building design for the water and park front site representing a six story building with an amenity deck looking out at the water. He showed further renderings of how the building would appear from a variety of different angles, including how it would fit into the tree line driving into town, and how it would be viewed from the water front. Changes they had already incorporated into their draft plan following the Planning Commission meeting included increasing parking stalls to 160 from 144, with 150 stalls equating to one stall for each bedroom, and 10 stalls intended for guests. He also highlighted how changing the pitch of the roof or switching to a flat roof could reduce the building

height down further. An example depicting the height of the building in relationship to City Hall's location up the hill was shown.

Council member Hultmann shared comments made previously by him to Mr. Threlkeld regarding the history of building height requirements. He indicated that he could see going up to a 45 foot building height or a four-story building in his mind, but was not favorable to the current height proposed. He also added that speaking on his own behalf, this property area would not be eligible for any TIF project assistance. He further observed that parking has always been an issue in the downtown area, and the project would need to be able to accommodate parking for its residents.

Council member Skjaret observed that approaching the proposal from a 20 year future perspective, density will happen in the community and that it is necessary for the community to continue to prosper. He expressed concern that Long Lake has not yet established a character for development in the City, and this would be a significantly large building that would heavily impact the appearance of the downtown. He indicated the mass is a little large in his opinion, and a reduction in massing with recessed floors and would be more favorable. He added though that he also had concern the other three single family lots in the area not incorporated in the plan would become islands and create a situation similar to Virginia Avenue. He wondered whether the applicant has considered looking at incorporating those three outstanding parcels to create opportunity for constructing perhaps more units with less mass. He noted that while he has been opposed to TIF projects in the past, he could see cities making investments into projects in order to prevent situations like ending up with standout islands. He further encouraged incorporating LEED design standards with regard to water consumption and energy management.

Council member Dyvik stated he had heard from a number of residents and business owners regarding the proposal, and would tend to share the opinion that the building proposed is just too big. Though a desired character for redevelopment is unknown, the general consensus would appear to be that this style of building is not it and that something smaller would be more desirable. He observed that this could be a signature development area, but had always envisioned the block developing with retail on first floor and residential above. He questioned how PUD district standards addressed hardcover regulations. He expressed some concern about rental apartments versus for sale apartments, recognizing that though the applicant's other properties are well managed and the rental units would be market rate rentals, it is difficult to predict what may happen 10 years ahead. He would be more attracted to an owner occupied arrangement to foster higher interest in upkeep and maintenance over the long term. He was also not in favor of creating a big wall façade along Symes Street.

Council member Jerde indicated she does believe density is needed and she could be comfortable with a four-story proposal; however, she would like to see any development proposal incorporate greenspace. She also referenced concerns regarding meeting parking requirements, and avoiding creating single family islands by not incorporating the outstanding properties.

Council members continued to discuss how the addition of the remaining properties not included in the current plan could allow for breaking up the building into multiple buildings to enhance walkability in the environment; the number of residents that could be added through the one development creating an impetus for further reviewing rental housing rules and regulations; incorporating more visually appealing details on a building proposal; the need to maintain a wide range of housing opportunities for residents; and highlighted Metropolitan Council's projections for the number of residential units Long Lake should be adding in future.

Mayor Schneider stated that he had attended the Planning Commission meeting and had met with the applicant for coffee at their request for more insight into the proposal. He observed that

challenges to be faced are that the project proposal represents a significant deviation from the guidance of the current Comprehensive Plan; the greenspace area bordering the project is the City's cornerstone park and the community truly values the ability for that park to be utilized by community members and the greater public; and meeting parking requirements remains a challenge. He added that even comparable developments in Wayzata include some surface parking as well. He also questioned whether the developer would be thinking of this as a workforce housing development. Mr. Walton replied that their intent would be for a market rate building with no subsidies, however, smaller "alcove" studio units could offer opportunity for single individuals to rent. The 1,200 square foot units are intended to be more luxury in nature.

Mayor Schneider advised that he believed the Council had provided some direction through their comments. He added that the PUD process represents an exceptional tool that allows both a developer and the City to vary from normal standards to foster development; but encouraged awareness that the process is intended to accommodate a developer, but also to accommodate public input and what the City feels is consistent with the need for a PUD to be used. He also reminded the applicant that City ordinance requires applicants to be responsible for consultant fees incurred, so that there is no misunderstanding in the event an application moves forward or is withdrawn in future.

Mr. Walton noted that with regard to comments about not creating another Virginia Avenue residential island situation, at this time the additional homes next to the site have not been available to them; however, they would have an interest in acquiring them to allow for multiple buildings, breaking down massing, and enhancing design. He stated that their company finances their projects and has only once been involved in a TIF project. Their developments do incorporate a lot of green energy and processes into their buildings. Lastly, he provided examples of projects that were a result of a collaborative effort between themselves and a neighborhood or City, and stated a strong interest in working collaboratively with the City on a future project.

Review Vacant Building Registration Second Appeal for Property at 2073-A West Wayzata Boulevard

Weske recalled that at the November 8 City Council meeting, the Council reviewed a vacant building registration appeal form submitted by Moen Management on behalf of the owners of 2073-A West Wayzata Boulevard. The Council voted to deny the appeal based upon finding that the status of the building is that it meets the definition of a "vacant building" as set forth in the vacant building registration ordinance. Staff has since worked with Moen Management to help address what qualified the building as a vacant building.

Staff invited Moen Management to submit another vacant building registration appeal form addressing the definition of "vacant building" as stated in the ordinance and to present their case for how their building does not qualify as a "vacant building" with respect to the definition conditions. Moen Management has provided proof of the utilities to the property and indicated they are receiving quotes for replacing the boarded up section with a door or a window.

Based upon the information provided by the appellant, staff is recommending the Council consider whether to revisit the decision made by majority vote of the City Council on November 8, 2017 denying the vacant building registration appeal by Moen Management for the property at 2073-A West Wayzata Boulevard.

Cliff Otten, property owner, spoke on behalf of himself and the management company and described their ongoing efforts to rent the property to a tenant. He and his partners have agreed they will install a window in place of the boarded up section if that is what it would take to remove the vacant building classification. He apologized for not responding to the situation earlier, but

indicated he had been advised by a former Mayor that the vacant building registration ordinance would not apply to his property.

Council member Skjaret described an experience he had when he was younger and had appeared in traffic court as background for his suggested solution. He indicated that it would be unfair to those vacant building owners who had complied with the ordinance to ignore the full fee. He proposed that the owner and management company be responsible to pay the full vacant building registration fees due for first and second year registration at this time; however, if the boarded up section is replaced with a window or permanent construction solution within a four month time period, the second year registration fee would be refunded.

Mr. Otten noted that the overall project had been initially intended to be constructed as a TIF project. He had not wanted to construct the A building until a tenant had been lined up for the property, however, the City had told him he would not receive TIF unless he had done so. TIF assistance was ultimately pulled for construction of the A building.

Mayor and Council discussed the status of available utilities to the site; the plywood wall being the sole condition of a vacant building not yet resolved; and encouraged Mr. Otten to have his representation reach out to the City regarding including the building on the City's online list of available properties.

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Dyvik, moving that the vacant building registration appeal for 2073-A West Wayzata Boulevard be granted contingent upon the following conditions:

The building owner remits payment in full for all vacant building registration fees due for both year one and year two.

Within four months' time from this action, if the plywood wall is repaired with an appropriate building finish material, the second year registration fee of \$2,000 and first year \$100 administrative registration penalty will be refunded to the building owner by the City.

Council member Hultmann indicated he would be recusing himself from any voting on the motion.

Mr. Otten confirmed he could consider the arrangement proposed to be a fair solution.

Ayes: Skjaret, Dyvik, Schneider, Jerde. Abstained: Hultmann. Motion carried.

Final 2018 Payable Levy and Proposed 2018 Budget – Adoption of Final Payable 2018 Levy, City Budget, Capital Improvement Program, and Pay Plan Resolutions

In preparation for adoption of the final payable 2018 levy and 2018 City operating budget, Administrator Weske reviewed budget factors including:

- An assumption that \$6,580 of Local Government Aid (LGA) will be received in 2018.
- License and permit revenues are expected to remain flat.
- A planned salary step increase for the City Administrator and Public Works Director positions, as well as a 2% salary range move for other staff is anticipated.
- Regarding benefits, a 10% increase in employee health insurance and 1.9% increase in employee dental insurance premiums is estimated.
- An assumed 4% increase in workers' compensation insurance premiums.
- An assumed 0% increase in City liability insurance premiums.
- Major General Fund capital items for 2018 including \$50,000 budgeted for a seal coat project; the continuation of annual capital replacement/maintenance activities including purchase of a

one-ton pick truck for Public Works; completion of the 2040 Comprehensive Plan Update; and anticipating CR 112 Phase 2 construction.

Weske provided a review of recent City market value trends and discussed the history of the City's tax levy from years 2013 through proposed 2018. Weske also presented pie charts and percentages depicting General Fund revenue sources as well as General Fund expenses in the areas of Public Safety, Public Works, Parks, and General Administration services; and presented the Council with information regarding local area cities' 2017 tax rates, proposed levy increases, and employee wage increases.

He indicated that the proposed 2018 final operating levy of \$991,370 coupled with a required debt service levy of \$146,853 would produce a total final levy of \$1,138,223 or \$73,645 or 6.9% increase compared to the adopted total 2017 payable levy of \$1,064,579. However, Weske presented the Council with additional considerations and optional scenarios for final levy adoption through reallocating American Tower Corporation (ATC) cell tower lease revenue to the General Fund, and also giving consideration to incorporating a 3% salary range move for staff rather than the 2% range move initially proposed. Resolutions had been provided for each option described for the Council to consider for adoption. Staff would suggest consideration of adopting "Option 2" and setting the levy at \$1,085,870 representing a 2% increase from the 2017 levy but a tax rate decrease of 1.46% to 37.71% from 39.18%; however, Weske added that an "Option 3" could be achieved representing a 0% final levy increase over the previous year.

Council and staff discussed how the reallocation of ATC lease revenue and the one-time ATC lease payment could be utilized to achieve a 0% final levy increase.

Mayor Schneider thanked Weske for the options provided and indicated he would be supportive of the 0% levy increase proposed as Option 3, with the understanding that the Council will need to take action on sewer and water rate increases in the coming year. He also indicated that with interest rates rising, interest on the City's investments should increase as well. He would ultimately support the Option 3 0% levy increase, as well as a 3% salary range move for staff.

Council member Skjaret also spoke in favor of the 3% salary range move under consideration.

A motion was made by Schneider, seconded by Skjaret, to adopt Resolution No. 2017-51, Option 3, establishing the final 2017 tax levy collectible in 2018. Ayes: all.

A motion was made by Skjaret, seconded by Hultmann, to adopt Resolution No. 2017-52, Option 3, establishing the final 2018 total City budget. Ayes: all.

A motion was made by Dyvik, seconded by Skjaret, to adopt Resolution No. 2017-53 establishing the 2018 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Ayes: all.

A motion was made by Dyvik, seconded by Jerde, to adopt Resolution No. 2017-54, Option 2, establishing the 2018 Pay Plan. Ayes: all.

Council member Dyvik suggested that effort be made to publicize the City's work to maintain a 0% levy increase ultimately leading to a reduction in the City tax rate.

Review and Consider Approval of the Cooperative Agreement with Hennepin County for the County State Aid Highway (CSAH 112) Project – Phase 2

Weske advised that Hennepin County has completed the preparation of the draft cooperative agreement for the County Road 112 (CSAH 112) - Phase 1 improvements which propose to

reconstruct Wayzata Boulevard from Willow Drive to Wolf Pointe Trail through the Long Lake. Proposed improvements include surface improvements to bring the corridor up to MnDOT state aid standards, downtown pedestrian accessibility improvements; and improvements to utilities in the corridor including City sanitary sewer, City watermain, storm sewers, and street lighting.

City staff, the City Attorney, and WSB & Associates engineer Jason Wedel have reviewed the draft cooperative agreement, updated it based on direction received from the Long Lake City Council in reference to the design of the Old Long Lake Road intersection, and all City comments were provided to Hennepin County for consideration. Hennepin County has finalized the agreement and is requesting that the City of Long Lake enter into a cooperative agreement for the referenced project. Details contained within the construction cooperative agreement include the scope of City expenses with the County, anticipated funding, and ongoing City obligations following the completion of the project. Bids for the project are scheduled to be opened in early 2018. Following the bid opening, the City will receive updated total project costs for the Phase 2 improvements.

Staff recommends the City Council consider making a motion to approve entering into Construction Cooperative Agreement No. PW 56-32-17 with Hennepin County for the County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 112 Project – Phase 2.

Weske noted that an updated copy of the agreement incorporating the City Attorney's comments had been distributed for Council members as a bench handout. He referred to the adjustments as described in the City Attorney's email, included in Council member meeting information packets.

Mayor Schneider indicated that it was his understanding the County would be presenting the Council with crosswalk options for the east end project area. Weske stated that he had not been advised of the final crosswalk designs but he believed that would be detailed prior to going out for bids. Weske clarified that the overall project design has already been approved, and the agreement focuses on addressing responsibility for maintenance of items constructed and financial responsibility for construction.

Council member Dyvik commented that the cooperative agreement was separate from design of crosswalks, and that he believe crosswalk additions or changes would be addressed through a project change order similar to changing the fencing proposed for installation along the lakeshore area.

Mayor and Council continued to discuss the importance of viewing the crosswalk designs. Mayor Schneider strongly recommended that at the next work session, County project representatives present information regarding crosswalk design proposals as well as other Phase 2 project design updates, including whether final resolution has been reached on the design of the Old Long Lake Road intersection.

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Skjaret, to approve entering into Construction Cooperative Agreement No. PW 56-32-17 with Hennepin County for the County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 112 Project – Phase 2. Ayes: all.

Establish the County Road (CR) 112 Landscaping Subcommittee

City Clerk Moeller stated that at recent City Council meetings, Council has held discussion relative to the importance of landscaping through the County Road 112 reconstruction project corridor, and expressed a desire to structure a CR 112 Landscaping Subcommittee to review project landscape plans and other corridor landscaping opportunities.

Staff has prepared this action item to formally establish the CR 112 Landscaping Subcommittee and suggested Long Lake representation, to include two Long Lake City Council members, the Park Board Chair, the Planning Commission Chair, Public Works Director, and City Administrator. Though unable to be specifically appointed by Long Lake, staff would recommend extending participation invitations to the City of Orono (one staff and one City Council member), the Hennepin County Forester and Landscape Specialist, and WSB & Associates consulting staff on an as-needed basis.

Moeller added that although a meeting schedule does not need to be decided at this time, staff would recommend consideration of meetings taking place on a weekday beginning at 7:30 am or 8:00 am. It was noted that a similar meeting schedule was used as the CSAH 112 Project Advisory Committee met, and that schedule seemed easily accommodated by most individuals.

Mayor Schneider recommended Council member Dyvik be appointed to take the lead on behalf of the Council.

Council member Dyvik indicated that he was in favor of the subcommittee structure as suggested, and Kristy Morter had confirmed a County landscape specialist could be a participant. He referenced the landscape plan, noting that the work of the committee will help gain a better picture of what landscaping will look like. He was also in favor of inviting Orono to participate as suggested.

Council member Jerde stated she would be willing to join the subcommittee.

Mayor Schneider suggested that a quorum notice could be posted to cover subcommittee meetings, similar to how PAC meetings were addressed.

A motion was made by Schneider, seconded by Jerde, to establish the CR 112 Landscaping Subcommittee, and to appoint the following subcommittee members on behalf of Long Lake:

*City Council member Dyvik as Chair, and City Council member Jerde;
The City Park Board Chair or his/her designee;
The City Planning Commission Chair or his/her designee;
City Public Works Director; and
City Administrator.*

Ayes: all.

Update Regarding Public Works Director Hiring Process

Weske advised that staff, Mayor Schneider and Council member Skjaret had interviewed two candidates for the position of Public Works Director in first interviews. Both candidates were asked to come back for a second interview with the full City Council during the January 2 City Council Work Session. Weske noted that the work session would likely need to start at 5:00 pm.

Council member Skjaret indicated that he believed the interview group was very pleased with the two candidates selected for consideration, and commented favorably that both were highly qualified and would fit in with staff and with the community.

Mayor Schneider echoed Council member Skjaret's comments and stated he too had been very impressed with the quality and qualifications of the candidates.

County Road 112 / Wayzata Boulevard W Reconstruction Project Updates

Mayor Schneider recalled that after speaking with project manager Paul Backer, he expects that the Phase 1 streetlights installed should now be operational. Mr. Backer communicated that

construction work will continue along the lakeshore area as long as weather permits, and indicated he would be happy to come to a City Council meeting in January to provide the Council with a preview of Phase 2 construction.

Council member Skjaret inquired whether crews had completed construction of the second 500 foot segment of the shoreline area. Council member Dyvik responded that he believed they were close to completion of that segment.

Council member Hultmann noted that if Kristy Morter is unavailable to attend the January 2 City Council work session, perhaps Mr. Backer could update the Council regarding the east neighborhood crosswalk alternatives on her behalf.

OTHER BUSINESS

Merry Christmas and Holiday Greetings – Council member Hultmann wished everyone a merry Christmas, happy holidays, and a happy new year; and encouraged everyone to continue shopping locally. Mayor, Council and staff joined Council member Hultmann in sharing holiday greetings and wishes for a merry Christmas.

No 2018 Levy Increase – Mayor Schneider added that as an extra holiday gift, Council and staff had worked hard to keep the City's final levy at a 0% increase over the previous year.

ADJOURN

A motion was made by Jerde, seconded by Dyvik, to adjourn the meeting. Ayes: all. Mayor Schneider adjourned the meeting at 8:43 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanette Moeller
City Clerk